

March 9, 2010

Sent via email

Kelcey Land U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for February 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Kelcey:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)



March 9, 2010

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for February 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

Kelcey Land (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 70 for period ending February 28,

2010; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington

County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on February 13. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

The settlement monitors were surveyed on August 17, 2009. No appreciable movement was noted. MEI prepared a Surface Monument Survey Data Review. Based on surface monitoring survey data collected from January 2006 through August 2009:

- Overall settlement of the reclaimed impoundment top surface continues to be very minor
- Settlement rates continue to slightly decrease

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in February 2010 were \$176. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,476,000.

Supplemental Attachments

February 2010 site inspection report

February 2010 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: 2	13-10		·	
Inspector:	D. 7	jeunan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cover System Component	Po	otential Problem⊚	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion o	r Fencing Issues	NA	NA
	Subsidence	ре	Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes No
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes _* No _
·	Embankm	ent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes _* No _
		on top	depth > 1"	Vac. + No. V
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes * No
rock)	Guliying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no guilying ailowed	Yes _* No _
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes _* No _ <u>\$</u>
!		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion Pr	otection Stability	rock subsiding or missing	Yes · * No
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/crystallization)	Yes * No
	Diversion (Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes + No
Runoff Control System	Diversion S	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes _* No i
	Excessive Ilnes in div	silt build up at fence ersion channel	allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes _* No _

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term MaIntenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

	Inspection Date: 3-/3-/		
)	Inspector: D. TRuma		
	Visible Outlying Areas		
Observed Condition:	1 N 1/1 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N	·	
Observed Damage:	None		
	May r	equire repair: Yes	_* No <u>-</u> _
	Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence line)		
Observed Condition:	Signs, fereus, and gettes are in gend	Report	
Observed Damage:	Nonz		
Potentiai Corrective Actions;	nom -		
	May re	equire repair: Yes	_* No 🔟
	All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto property)		
Observed Condition:	No New probleme		
Observed Damage:	Nona		
	May re	equire repair: Yes	_* No _ /

^{*} Mark ail areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date	2-/13/10 Diferens	 -	
		Outslopes		
Observed Performance;	Rock Cover Subsidence:	: Yes No _	May require repair: Yes	_* No
	Excessive Slope Movem	ent (failure): Yes No _	May require repair: Yes _	_* No <u> </u>
	Gully Development:	Yes No <u>\(\nu \)</u>	May require repair: Yes _	_* No
	Observable Leachate (co	olored): Yes No 🗹	May require repair: Yes _	_* No
	Excessive Siltation (at sl	lope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes	* No
Observed Damage:	Vonla			
Potential Corrective Actions:	JWW.			
		Top (top surface	solls)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes No	/ May require repair: Yes	_* No 🗸
	Settiement / Evidence of	Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes	_* No 🖊
	Erosion / Gullying:	Yes No 🖊	May require repair: Yes	_* No
Observed C Damage:	Tour			
Potential Corrective Actions:	mm			
		Erosion Protection La	ayer (rock)	
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes _	_* No
	Rock Subsiding:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes	_ No _
·	Missing Rock:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes	_* No
Observed Damage: N	mre		·	
Potential Corrective Actions:)0W2			

" IMARK all areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date: Inspector:	2/19/10 D-TRUMAN		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	YesNo	May require repair: Yes* No*
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	YesNo	May require repair: Yes* No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes _ No _	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	Voole	-	
Potential Corrective Actions:	NW		
Fig. 12-10-995-5 75-5	The transfer of the control of the control of the control of		TURBLE CONTROL OF SERVICE STATES
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance;	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Erosion Protection in place: Flow Channel Silting In:	<u>ransa er erre kulturur gan veral gan veral</u>	
	·	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silling In:	Yes No Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No May require repair: Yes* No
Performance: Observed	Flow Channel Silling In: Head Cutling:	Yes No Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No May require repair: Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May	Committed Cost this	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date	Forecasted Cost To	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases through (Completed February 2006)				01-07-7			
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	378,517 Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Heda Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Land Towns Manifestor in the state of							
Site Inspections			176	190.519	4.194	194.713	
Settlement Monitoring				8,775		10.425	
Consultant Support:							
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495		20,595	20,595 Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	2	20,000	20,000 Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	7,669	2,132	9,801	
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079		2,079	
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927		3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg					3,500	3,500	
Maintenance;							
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500	29,441	29,441 Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance				9,912	10,000	19,912	19,912 Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecla Project Management Costs:							
Labor			0	2,266	606'2	10,175	
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	176	248,583	76,870	325,453	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	176	1,475,976	76,870	1,552,846	